RESEARCH ARTICLE

Open Access

The type VI secretion system of *Xanthomonas phaseoli* pv. *manihotis* is involved in virulence and in vitro motility



Nathaly Andrea Montenegro Benavides¹, Alejandro Alvarez B.¹, Mario L. Arrieta-Ortiz², Luis Miguel Rodriguez-R³, David Botero¹, Javier Felipe Tabima⁴, Luisa Castiblanco¹, Cesar Trujillo¹, Silvia Restrepo¹ and Adriana Bernal^{1*}

Abstract

Background: The type VI protein secretion system (T6SS) is important in diverse cellular processes in Gramnegative bacteria, including interactions with other bacteria and with eukaryotic hosts. In this study we analyze the evolution of the T6SS in the genus *Xanthomonas* and evaluate its importance of the T6SS for virulence and in vitro motility in *Xanthomonas phaseoli* pv. *manihotis (Xpm)*, the causal agent of bacterial blight in cassava (*Manihot esculenta*). We delineate the organization of the T6SS gene clusters in *Xanthomonas* and then characterize proteins of this secretion system in *Xpm* strain ClO151.

Results: We describe the presence of three different clusters in the genus *Xanthomonas* that vary in their organization and degree of synteny between species. Using a gene knockout strategy, we also found that *vgrG* and *hcp* are required for maximal aggressiveness of *Xpm* on cassava plants while *clpV* is important for both motility and maximal aggressiveness.

Conclusion: We characterized the T6SS in 15 different strains in *Xanthomonas* and our phylogenetic analyses suggest that the T6SS might have been acquired by a very ancient event of horizontal gene transfer and maintained through evolution, hinting at their importance for the adaptation of *Xanthomonas* to their hosts. Finally, we demonstrated that the T6SS of *Xpm* is functional, and significantly contributes to virulence and motility. This is the first experimental study that demonstrates the role of the T6SS in the *Xpm*-cassava interaction and the T6SS organization in the genus *Xanthomonas*.

Keywords: Type VI secretion, Hcp, Vgr, IcmF, ClpV, Xanthomonas, bacterial pathogenesis

Background

A large number of Gram-negative bacteria use the type VI secretion system (T6SS) to transport proteins across the bacterial cell envelope. This versatile protein secretion system seems to be involved in a variety of cellular processes in bacteria, including antibacterial activity, bio-film formation and interactions with eukaryotic hosts.

* Correspondence: abernal@uniandes.edu.co

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



Thus, the T6SS may confer a competitive advantage in multi-species environments. The T6SS is responsible for antagonism towards potentially competing bacteria by direct injection of protein effectors in species such as *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* [1, 2][.] *Salmonella typhimurium* [3] and *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* [4]. In *Acidovorax citrulli* [5] and *Burkholderia cenocepacia* [6] the T6SS has been implicated in biofilm formation as well.

In addition, the T6SS also participates in the interactions of pathogenic and commensal bacteria with their eukaryotic hosts. For example, in *P. aeruginosa*, two out

© The Author(s). 2021 **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

¹Department of Biological Sciences, Universidad de los Andes, Bogotá, Colombia

of the three T6SS clusters are important in virulence against eukaryotic cells [7, 8]. This system is also involved in cell to cell signaling and communication. *Vibrio cholerae* uses the T6SS to induce changes in the host cellular behavior that reduce the population of other, potentially competing, bacteria [9]. In plant pathogens, such as *Pantoea ananatis*, the T6SS plays a key role in pathogenesis and bacterial competition [10]. Despite its importance, information about the functions of this system in plant pathogens remains scarce.

The T6SS injects diverse effector proteins into cells by contracting a spike-containing inner tube that perforates the membrane of target cells [11]. The system is typically encoded by a set of fifteen to twenty genes. However, bacterial genomes encoding for T6SSs share a group of thirteen fundamental core genes [12]. One of the most important proteins in this machinery is ClpV, an ATPase that forms a hexameric complex that provides the energy required for secreting T6SS substrates [13, 14]. Aditionally, the intracellular multiplication protein F (IcmF or TssM), is essential for the secretion of the haemolysis-corregulated protein (Hcp) [15–17]. Hcp and VgrG (Valine-Glycine repeats G), are both effector proteins and important parts of the structural machinery of the T6SS in A. tumefaciens [18]. Hcp and VgrG show structural homology to proteins found in the tail structures of bacteriophages, suggesting an evolutionary relationship between the T6SSs and the cell-puncturing machinery of family bacteriophages belonging to the Myoviridae [19, 20].

The T6SS components are encoded in gene clusters that vary in organization and frequency. For example P. aeruginosa has three T6SS clusters [8] while Burkholderia thailandesis has five different clusters [21]. Boyer and collaborators [12] found that Xanthomonas axonopodis and Xantohomonas campestris both have two complementary T6SS loci. Similarly, Xanthomonas euvesicatoria 85-10 (Xeu) has two T6SS loci or clusters with 15 conserved components [22]. The T6SS has been partially characterized, through bioinformatics, in Xeu, Xanthomonas vesicatoria strain 1111 (ATCC 35937: Xv) and Xanthomonas perforans strain 91–118 (Xp) [23]. Mutants have been generated for genes vgrG and clpV in Xeu [22]. But, no change in virulence was reported for those mutant strains. Moreover, in Xanthomonas citri, the T6SSmediates resistance to Dictyostelium predation [24]. Overall, the T6SS of members of the Xanthomonas genus need further characterization.

Xanthomonas phaseoli pv. *manihotis (Xpm)* is the causal agent of cassava bacterial blight, an economically important disease in Africa and South America, causing losses that may reach up to 100% after three cycles of cassava production [25]. This local and systemic

pathogen induces a wide combination of symptoms such as angular leaf spots, blight, wilting, dieback, gum exudation and vascular necrosis [25]. Here, we report a bioinformatic study of the organization of the T6SS cluster in the genus *Xanthomonas*. We also report on the importance of this system for bacterial virulence and in vitro motility.

Methods

Determining the core components of the T6SS in Xanthomonads

A bioinformatic search for genes involved in the T6SS machinery was performed by selecting a group of genes of P. aeruginosa [15], and performing a TBLASTN search with the BLOSUM62 matrix [26] against the genomes of Xanthomonas citri subsp. citri strain 306 (Xcc3), Xeu and Xpm (Additional file: Table S1). Additionally, genes identified in Xpm were confirmed as orthologs using reciprocal best hit. In order to identify all components of T6SS components in other xanthomonads, we used the same genes from P. aeruginosa and performed a BLASTP search with the BLOSUM62 matrix [26] against the genomes of Xanthomonas oryzae pv. oryzae (Xoo), Xanthomonas campestris pv. campestris (Xcac) and Xanthomonas albilineans (Xalb) (Additional file: Table S1). In both cases, a homolog was considered as significant if the BLAST e-value was $< 10^{-20}$ and the amino acid identity was at least 30%. A subsequent search for orthologs with ORTHOMCL [27] confirmed the results [28, 29]. To detect signatures of Horizontal Gene Transfer, a search for genomic islands and insertion sequences was performed using Alien Hunter [30] and IS finder [31], respectively. These results allowed the reconstruction of the T6SS clusters of Xcc3, Xeu and Xpm (Fig. 1). The resulting T6SS gene clusters were used in BLAST searches against the other Xanthomonas genomes (listed in Table S1). In addition, conservation of the T6SS in Xpm was assessed by BLASTN searches default parameters [26] for the 65 Xpm strains reported by Bart and collaborators [32].

Phylogenetic reconstruction

The orthologous gene sequences were aligned using MUSCLE 3.8 [33]. All core ortholog alignments were concatenated into a super matrix in Geneious [34] for phylogenetic reconstruction. The phylogenetic tree was constructed using a maximum likelihood approach in RAxML V.7.2.8 [35] using the GTR + G + I model of nucleotide evolution and partitions per gene in the different clusters. Support values for phylogeny branches were estimated by means of 1000 replicates of bootstrap in RAxML [35].



Cellular localization, protein family and motif prediction for T6SS genes of *Xpm*

The cellular localization of each protein in the dataset was determined using PSORT [36], with options for Gram-negative bacteria. To determine transmembrane region and their orientation, TMpred was used [37]. The databases InterPro [38], CDD [39], and ProDOM [40, 41] were used for protein domain identification. The Pfam database [41] was used to assign protein families. The presence of known protein motifs in the T6SS components was determined using Motif Finder [42] and MOTIF Search in TRANSFAC databases [43].

Bacterial strains and growth conditions

The strains, plasmids and primer sequences used in this study are described in Tables S2 and S3. *Escherichia coli* strain DH5 α was grown on LB at 37 °C and the *Xpm* strain CIO151 was grown on LPGA (5 g yeast extract, 5 g dextrose, 5 g peptone and 15 g agar per liter of distilled water) at 28 °C. For inoculation assays, *Xpm* cells were grown for two days in LPGA agar medium with the appropriate antibiotics. Cells were subsequently grown overnight in Phi broth (5 g yeast extract, 5 g dextrose, 5 g casamino acids and 15 g agar per liter of distilled water) at 28 °C with the appropriate antibiotics. To adjust the cell suspensions to an OD_{600nm} of 0.2, overnight cultures were harvested by centrifugation at 14000 rpm

for 2 min and re-suspended in 10 mM MgCl₂ without antibiotics. In vitro growth of *Xpm* strains was measured in Phi broth with the appropriate antibiotics at 28 °C and shaking at 200 rpm for 38 h. Three independent measurements were performed.

Swimming motility assays

Swimming motility of *Xpm* strains was evaluated by measuring the motility diameter of in LPGA medium with 0.3% agar. Swimming plates were inoculated with cultures grown overnight and adjusted to an OD_{600nm} of 0.3. They were subsequently incubated at 25 °C for 24 and 48 h. Two independent experiments were performed with four replicates each.

Generation of knockout mutants of vgrG, clpV, icmF and hcp

Homologous recombination by single crossing-over was used to generate mutants of these genes in *Xpm*. A fragment of nearly 400 bp from the central region of each gene was amplified by PCR. A 25 μ l reaction was performed including 1X Buffer, 2 mM MgCl₂, 0.2 mM dNTPs, 0.2 mM of each primer, 10–50 ng of DNA of *Xpm* and 2 U of *Taq* polymerase (Invitrogen Corp.). The amplification proceeded with an initial denaturation step of 5 min at 95 °C, followed by 35 cycles of 45 s at 95 °C, 45 s at annealing temperature (Additional file: Table S3)

and an extension time of 1 min per Kb of expected product at 72 °C. The obtained fragments were subcloned in pENTR[™]/ D-TOPO[®] (Invitrogen Corp., Grand Island, New York, USA). The clone was digested with the enzyme EcoRI and the resulting fragment was inserted in the suicide vector pAC3.1 [44]; and transformed into E. coli DH5α cells. The colonies were selected on LB plates + kanamycin $(50 \,\mu\text{g/ml})$ + chloramphenicol $(25 \,\mu\text{g/ml})$. Insertion of the fragment in the vector was confirmed by PCR (Additional file: Table S3) and sequencing (Macrogen Inc. Korea). The insertion of each mutagenesis fragment in Xpm was performed by triparental mating and the resulting colonies were confirmed by PCR and sequencing (Macrogen Inc., Korea). For the PCR confirmation, extension and nested primers were designed (Additional file: Table S3).

In planta virulence assay

The virulence of the four generated mutants of *Xpm* was assayed on susceptible cassava plants (HMC-1 and MCOL2215). We employed two inoculation methodologies to determine differences between the pathogenicity of wild type and mutant strains: the first consisted on making 2 mm diameter perforations on the leaflets, as previously described by Restrepo and collaborators [45]. These perforations were inoculated with 10 µL of liquid bacterial suspension in 10 mM MgCl₂ at an OD_{600nm} of 0.2 (10 [8] CFU/ml). Three independent experiments were performed with five replicates each. To measure the lesion area, we used the ImageJ package [46] and a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) test was performed to validate statistically significant differences between wild type and mutant bacteria. The second inoculation method was the leaf clipping method [47] that consisted of cutting one to two cm from the tip of the leaves with scissors previously dipped in the inoculum at a concentration of 0.2 OD_{600nm}. Three independent measurements were performed. The onset of symptoms was monitored until day 15 post inoculation. Dilution plating was performed for each bacterial suspension in order to ensure the presence of the bacterium and to measure the concentration of the initial inoculum. *Xpm* CIO151 Δ *hrpX* mutant, where secretion of effector proteins by the T3SS has been abrogated rendering the strain non-pathogenic, was used as a negative control.

Results

Structural genes and phylogenetic tree of the T6SS in the genus *Xanthomonas*

The T6SS of *P. aeruginosa* has been well studied both at the bioinformatic and experimental levels, and its importance in pathogenicity and in the interaction with other bacteria has been demonstrated [1, 15]. We used

the T6SS genes of Pseudomonas aeruginosa PAO1 as references to identify the T6SS genes in 44 out of the 60 evaluated genomes of the genus Xanthomonas. Our BLASTP and ORTHOMCL analyses (see methods) show that the reconstructed T6SS clusters in Xanthomonas contain between twelve and sixteen genes. For further analyses, we only considered the genomes of species that had at the minimum set of genes required for T6SS functionality [48]. Therefore, we selected 14 representative genomes within the Xanthomonas genus (Additional file: Table S4 bold letter) to perform phylogenetic analyses that offer insights into the evolutionary paths and diversity in organization of the T6SS in this group of plant pathogens (Fig. 1). We identified each of the genes encoded in the T6SS in these genomes by OrthoMCL and BLASTP (Additional file: Table S5), and detected a subset of the genes in additional genomes (Xanthomonas cassavae str. CFBP 4642, Xanthomonas perforans str 91-18 and Xanthomonas axonopodis str.29) using EDGAR2.0 [49] (Additional file: Table S6). These analyses suggest that the T6SS is present in a widespread array of species in Xanthomonas, pinpointing at the biological importance of this cluster in this genus.

Among the Xanthomonas species with putative functional T6SS, we found three different types of clusters (referred to I, II and II in Fig. 1, additional file: Table S4, Table S5). Remarkably, the T6SS cluster are not the result of simple duplication events. For example, the Xoo strains have two clusters, one of which follows the phylogeny of the species, being distantly related form the phaseoli clade. However, the second cluster forms a monophyletic cluster with one of the copies from X. euvesicatoria and X. perforans. This implies that the clusters have a recent common origin and could have been horizontally acquired. We observed conserved synteny in the organization of Cluster III of Xv, Xeu, Xfa1 and Xcc3 (all members of axonopodis clade [28, 29]). This suggests that a common origin of the clusters precedes the divergence of the pathovars. In summary, there is evidence for both vertical and horizontal inheritance of the T6SS clusters in Xanthomonas.

In agreement with previous reports [23, 24], transcriptional regulators (LysR, TssB and TssA) were detected at the boundaries of some of the reconstructed T6SS clusters (I and III). The presence of noncoding RNAs in Cluster I suggests additional post-transcriptional regulation. Notably, we detected transcriptional regulators of the LysR family as a new class of regulators for Cluster I. The identified regulators may conditionally act individually or in combination to regulate their target clusters. No regulators were identified for type II clusters. Surprisingly, transcriptional regulators of the AraC family, previously propopsed as a characteristic feature of type III clusters [23], were not detected in the clusters of *Xfa* and *Xcc*.

Pseudogenes [50] were noted in the T6SS clusters of some of the genomes analyzed (Fig. 1, additional file: Table S5). We considered some proteins with an early stop codon that were divided in two parts as well as other genes that had shorter versions or frameshifts (Fig. 1). *fha*, *clpV* and *impH* were among the truncated genes in the clusters I of Xvm0 and XvmN. Since Fha is a target for TagF involved in posttranslational regulation of P. aeruginosa and A. tumefaciens [51], this process may be affected in these strains and they might have an alternative type of regulation, which would need to be experimentally determined. Other divided genes of the T6SS clusters include: icmF in Xvm0 and XvmN (cluster I), ompA in Xvm0 (cluster I), and clpV in Xpm (cluster III) (Fig. 1). Experimental procedures are necessary to determine the function of these genes in the Xanthomonas T6SS. Sixteen Xanthomonas strains did not contain the core genes of the T6SS; some were Xanthomonas campestris pv. campestris (strain 8004, strain ATCC33913 and strain B100), Xanthomonas campestris pv. armoraciae str. 756C and Xanthomonas albilineans and were therefore considered as T6SS-depleted.

Xpm, Xeu and *Xcc3* show similarity in the organization of the T6SS gene clusters

A comparative analysis was performed between *Xpm* and its closest relatives with completely sequenced genomes, *Xeu* and *Xcc3* [28]. Figure 2 shows the presence of two T6SS clusters (containing the same genes in

To determine if the T6SS is conserved among members of the Xpm pathovar, or is exclusively present in the CIO151 strain, we searched for the T6SS genes in the genomes available for this pathovar [32]. We performed a BLASTN analyses with each T6SS gene of CIO151 against the other 64 genomes of *manihotis* pathovar (data not shown). We found the 16 T6SS genes are conserved among the analyzed genomes, with 75 to 100% identity at the nucleotide level. We found that clpV is divided in two parts due to a stop codon in the middle of the two AAA-ATPase domains. Nonetheless, because the stop codon does not truncate any of the protein domains (Additional file: Table S7), the two ClpV fragments may interact to create a functional protein complex (Figs. 3 and 4).

The cluster of orthologous groups (COG), together with the results obtained from our phylogenetic results discussed above, suggest Horizontal Gene Transfer (HGT) events as the probable origin of the T6SS in *Xanthomonas*. Thus we searched for genomic islands (GI), insertion sequences (IS), deviations in the GC content, and tRNA genes surrounding the T6SS clusters [12]. The positions and types of identified GI and IS were not exactly the same in clusters I and clusters III of the three organisms (*Xpm*, *Xeu* and *Xcc3*, Fig. 2 and additional file: Table S8). However, GIs ere consistently located between the *vgrG* and *clpV* genes. The IS within the T6SS clusters of *Xeu* and *Xpm* belong to the IS3







family, while the IS in the *Xcc3* cluster is part of the IS4 family [31] (Fig. 2 and additional file: Table S8). In contrast, no significant difference in GC content (with respect to the average GC content of the corresponding genomes) was detected int the T6SS cluster of *Xeu*, *Xcc3*, and *Xpm*. Hence, there are some characteristics of HGT for these clusters, but if these events occurred, there has been enough time to adapt these clusters to the rest of the genome.

Mutations in *vgrG*, *clpV* and *hcp* decrease the virulence of *Xpm* on susceptible cassava plants

To determine whether the T6SS contributes to the virulence of *Xpm*, we constructed and then inoculated *vgrG*, *clpV*, *icmF* and *hcp* single deletion mutants into susceptible cassava plants. Initially, in vitro growth assays were performed for each mutant strain, to determine whether the introduced mutations decrease the general fitness of the pathogen (Fig. 3). The mutants were complemented with the wild type genes in all cases to restore the genotype. The resulting *vgrG*, *clpV* and *hcp* mutant strains did not show significant differences in fitness with respect to the wild type control (paired t-test *p*-values = 0.14, 0.48 and 0.15, respectively). *icmF* mutants showed a small decrease in growth in vitro at 18 h with respect to the wild type strain (paired t-test p-value = 0.02) but the effect was undetectable at later time points.

These results suggest that while mutations in vgrG, clpV and hcp do not affect the ability of Xpm to grow in vitro, mutation in icmF has a slight effect on the growth of this pathogen.

We then tested the mutants for their ability to cause disease in susceptible cassava plants. The *vgrG*, *clpV* and *hcp* mutant strains were able to produce symptoms on leaves of susceptible cassava. Therefore, we conclude that these genes are not required for full pathogenicity (Fig. 4). However, a decrease in symptoms was observed for CIO151 $\Delta vgrG$, CIO151 $\Delta clpV$, and CIO151 Δhcp strains at 15 days post-inoculation by two different methods of inoculation (Fig. 4a and Fig. 4b). For the hole-inoculation method, the lesion area was measured with the program ImageJ [46] and statistically significant differences when compared to the wild type were observed (ANOVA *p*-value> 0.01), as show in Fig. 4c. The phenotype was complemented when mutants were transformed back with their respective wild type gene



(Fig. 4c). Together, these results suggest that the genes *vgrG*, *clpV* and *hcp* are required for maximal aggressiveness of *Xpm* on susceptible cassava plants.

clpV deletion decreases Xpm motility

The T6SS has been implicated in pathogenicity [15, 52, 53], motility [54–56] and interaction with other bacteria

[21, 57]. Motility contributes to virulence in the genus *Xanthomonas* [58–60]. We therefore tested the T6SS mutants for swimming motility on petri dish with a low proportion of agar (0,3% agar) after 24 h and 48 h. Motility was significantly different after 48 for the CIO151 $\Delta clpV$ mutant with respect to the (*p*-value = 0.0007). Notably, the motility of this strain was indistinguishable from that of the CIO151 strain, after

transformation with the wild type clpV gene (Fig. 5c), which demonstrates that the observed differences was due to the truncation/deletion of this gene. For the other evaluated mutants, there were no differences in motility with respect to the wild type (*p*-value> 0.05; Fig. 5a, b and d). In general, these results suggest that ClpV activity impacts motility, whereas VgrG, IcmF and Hcp are dispensable for this function in *Xpm*.





Discussion

The T6SS is a versatile macromolecular assembly that has not been comprehensively characterized in plant pathogens. We have provided insights into the gene cluster organization and phylogeny of 15 genes of the T6SS machinery in the genus *Xanthomonas*. We found that the T6SS may have suffered duplications and HGT events in *Xanthomonas*. Finally, we show the importance of the T6SS for aggressiveness in susceptible cassava plants and in vitro motility of *Xpm*.

We detected the presence of three distinct T6SS clusters in the genus Xanthomonas that differ in the order in which the orthologous genes are arranged (Fig. 1 and Fig. S1). The number of T6SS clusters has also been found to be variable in other taxa [12]. The organization of the clusters I and III is similar, containing 16 genes, contrary to cluster II, with only 12 genes. Because the T6SS cluster II always co-occurs with one of the other clusters, cluster II may act as a complementary system in Xoo. This has previously been reported for other plant pathogens such as B. glumae, B gladioli and B. plantari strains, which generally possess at least two potential functional T6SS clusters whilst most representatives of beneficial (plant growth-promoting, symbionts or nodule-forming) Burkholderia species showed one or two clusters [61].

The presence of two T6SS in *X. oryzae, Xeu* and *Xp* could indicate that both clusters together are required to function properly. This is also the case of *P. aeruginosa,* where the cluster I, which is involved in virulence [15] and toxicity [1] requires the activity of clusters II and III [8]. Similarly, *Vibrio cholerae* has two auxiliary clusters with important activity during competition between *V. cholerae* strains [62]. Hence, it would be interesting to define the environments where individual T6SS clusters are active and conditionally essential in Xanthomonads.

Several lines of evidence suggested horizontal gene transfer events in the T6SS regions of the analyzed Xanthomonas. This observation agrees with previous phylogenetic studies, in other taxa, reporting the presence of T6SS gene clusters in horizontally acquired pathogenicity islands [12, 63]. The presence of insertion sequences and genomic islands in the T6SS cluster III, together with the disagreement between the genomesbased and T6SS-based phylogenetic relationships of the genus Xanthomonas [28] support the independent acquisition of the cluster III, by HGT. No differences were found in GC content were detected between the T6SS clusters and their corresponding genomes. This pattern of homogeneity in GC content was previously found in the T6SS of Klebsiella spp. and V. cholerae [64, 65]. Thus, we hypothesize that if the horizontal acquisition of the T6SS indeed happened in *Xanthomonas*, the horizontally acquired T6SS regions have adapted to the characteristics (GC content, codon usage, etc.) of the receiving genome to optimize its expression.

T6SS gene expression is tightly regulated at the transcriptional [by transcription factors (TFs)] and post-transcriptional levels (e.g. phosporylation). Cluster I showed a phosphorylation-type regulator (Kinase / Phosphatase / Forkhead). Cluster III has both a phosphorylation-type regulator and an AraC-type TF. Cluster II does not have either cis-acting regulator. Miyata et al. recently proposed that the presence of multiple regulators may help a pathogen coordinate T6SS gene expression to avoid identification by the host immune system [63]. A similar phenomenon could be occurring in Xanthomonads. Understanding the regulatory mechanisms of the T6SS in *Xanthomonas* will be a key step to uncover its role in diverse ecological interactions.

A single T6SS (with 16 genes and classified as type III) was identified in the Xpm CIO151 genome. We showed that the T6SS is an important factor for virulence and motility in this pathovar. clpV is divided in two contigous sequences in both Xp and Xpm. The importance of ClpV is species-specific. For example, ClpV supplies the energy for the assembly of the T6SS external machinery, composed of VipA (ImpB) and VipB (ImpD) in V. cholerae and P. aeruginosa species [14, 66]. However, the lack of ClpV does not affect the functionality of the T6SS of Campylobacter jejuni [67]. In Xpm CIO151, each *clpV* fragment contain a potentially functional ATPase domain and P-loop containing nucleoside triphosphate hydrolase, corresponding to a common ClpV protein. Using a $\Delta clpV$ mutant, we demonstrated that *clpV* is important for in vitro motility of *Xpm*. This finding suggests that the fragmented *clpV* gene is still functional. Motility plays a role predominantly in the early phases of infection; thus it helps in the development of plant disease in Xanthomonas [68]. Xpm probably requires motility for the pre-entry processes and the spread of the pathogen inside the plant, but no experimental tests have been performed to test this. To fully understand the role of clpV in motility, it would be important to fully characterize the regulation of motility in *Xpm* and what molecular role ClpV plays in it.

We demonstrate a decrease in virulence for the CIO151 $\Delta vgrG$, CIO151 $\Delta clpV$ and CIO151 Δhcp mutants. Hcp has been reported as a substrate of the T6SS in *P. aeruginosa* [15] and *Burkholderia mallei* [21]. More importantly, Hcp was required for full tumorigenesis efficiency in *A. tumefaciens* [16], in agreement with our results for *Xpm*. Mougous and collaborators (2006) [15] demonstrated that ClpV is necessary for the secretion of Hcp in *P. aeruginosa*. In *Xpm*, we have demonstrated that ClpV has pleiotropic effects on two processes – motility and virulence. It is therefore possible that Hcp is a substrate of ClpV, affecting other aspects of virulence

different from motility, and that other ClpV-dependent, Hcp- and VgrG-independent activities are required for motility in Xpm. In addition to Hcp- and VgrG, a few T6SS effectors have been reported until now, namely EvpP from Edwardsiella tarda [69], RbsB in Rhizobium leguminosarum [70, 71], TssM in B. mallei [21], and Tse1, Tse2, and Tse3 in P. aeruginosa [1, 72]. Recently, Bayer-Santos and collaborators (2019) in silico predicted a series of T6SS effectors from Xanthomonadales using an in silico analysis and suggested the presence of a high number of putative antibacterial toxins [73] which need to be experimentally tested. Bioinformatic analyses (using the Bastion6 software [74]) could be used to identify effector candidates for the T6SS in Xpm. Those predictions could be then experimentally evaluated in follow up experiments to better elucidate the distinct roles of each effector in the phenotypes observed here.

Conclusions

Our computational analyses identify 16 proteins of the T6SS in the genus *Xanthomonas*. T6SS presents three different T6SS-associated gene clusters are present in the genus *Xanthomonas* that vary principally in the organization and the synteny of orthologous genes between species. Clusters III and I have the same number of genes and organization, while cluster II only has 12 genes, and it is restricted to *X. oryzae* strains. Phylogenetic analyses suggest that the T6SS might have been acquired by a very ancient event of horizontal gene transfer and maintained through evolution, hinting at their importance for the adaptation of *Xanthomonas* to their hosts. Finally, we demonstrated that the T6SS of *Xpm* is functional, and significantly contributes to virulence and motility.

Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi. org/10.1186/s12866-020-02066-1.

Additional file 1: Table S1. TBLASTN and BLASTP of homologous structural genes of Pseudomonas aeruginosa T6SS selected. Homologous structural genes of Pseudomonas aeruginosa T6SS selected by TBLASTN (Altschul et al., 1997) against the genomes of Xanthomonas citri subsp. citri str. 306 (Xcc), Xanthomonas euvesicatoria str. 85-10 (Xeu) and Xanthomonas phaseoli pv. manihotis str. CIO151 (Xpm). Similarity of structural genes of Pseudomonas aeruginosa T6SS selected by BLASTP against the genomes of Xanthomonas oryzae pv. oryzae str. PXO 099 (Xoo), Xanthomonas campestris pv. campestris str. ATCC 33913 (Xcac) and Xanthomonas albilineans GPE PC73 (Xalb). Colors follow the same guidelines as in Fig. 1. Table S2. Bacterial strains and plasmids used in this study. Derivative strains of Xanthomonas phaseoli pv. manihotis str. CIO151 (Xpm) with knockouts in T6SS genes. Table S3. List of primers used in this study. Table S4. Analysis of Xanthomonas genomes with or without T6SS genes. Results of BLASTP and ORTHOMCL analyses show that the reconstructed T6SS clusters in Xanthomonas contain between twelve and sixteen genes. Table S5. Structural genes of type VI secretion system of Xanthomonas. Distribution of type VI secretion system gene clusters in 14 strains of Xanthomonas. For each strain of Xanthomonas,

the genes found in T6SS are divided by clusters (I, II and II) and are shown with gene, protein and product sizes. **Table S6.** Results of the search for T6SS-encoding genes in the genomes of *Xanthomonas perforans* (Xp 91–118), *Xanthomonas cassavae* str. CFBP4642 and *Xanthomonas axonopodis* str. 29 with EDGAR 2.0. EDGAR displays the orthologous genes in each genome. For each gene, the nomenclature and annotation are denoted. **Table S7.** Localization, protein family and motif prediction of T6SS proteins in *Xpm*. The core proteins of T6SS were subjected to in silico analyses for subcellular localization using PSORT, prediction of transmembrane domains (TMpred), domain prediction (INTERPRO, CDD and PRODOM) and protein families (Pfam) and motifs (Motif finder and MOTIF search). The colors follow the same guidelines as in Fig. 1. **Table S8.** Insertion sequences in the T6SS. The clusters of the T6SS of *Xeu*, *Xcc3* and *Xpm* were examined for IS families and groups, defined in the database ISfinder [31].

Additional file 2: Fig. S1. Genomic organization of the characterized T6SS cluster. Alignment orthologous sequences and the hits of T6SS genes are represented as colored arrows. The colors follow the same guidelines as in Fig. 1. A unique color was assigned to highly conserved orthologs of Xanthomonas euvesicatoria str. 85–10, Xanthomonas citri subsp. citri str. 306 and Xanthomonas phaseoli pv. manihotis str. CIO 151. The lines represent the orthologous genes found with ORTHOMCL.

Abbreviations

T6SS: Type VI secretion system; *Xpm: Xanthomonas phaseoli* pv. *manihotis*; IcmF: Intracellular multiplication protein F; Hcp: Haemolysis-corregulated protein; VgrG: Valine-Glycine repeats G; COG: Cluster of orthologous groups; HGT: Horizontal Gene Transfer; IS: Insertion Sequence; *Xcc3: Xanthomonas citri* subsp. *citri* strain 306; *Xeu: Xanthomonas euvesicatoria* 85–10; *Xv: Xanthomonas vesicatoria* strain 1111; *Xp: Xanthomonas perforans* strain 91–118; *Xoo: Xanthomonas oryzae* pv. *oryzae*; *Xcac: Xanthomonas campestris* pv. *campestris*; *Xalb: Xanthomonas albilineans*

Acknowledgments

We thank the College of Science of the Universidad de los Andes for providing the infrastructure and all the necessary support for carrying out this work.

Authors' contributions

NMB performed all laboratory experiments, analyzed data and wrote manuscript. AAB obtained the vgrG mutant and helped draft the manuscript. LMR, MLAO, JFT, DB performed bioinformatic analysis and helped draft the manuscript. LC and CT helped design study and helped draft manuscript. AB contributed to experimental design, helped in data analysis and helped write the manuscript. SR help draft the manuscript. All authors have read and approve the manuscript.

Funding

This work was funded by Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de los Andes (INV-2019-84-1855) and Departamento Administrativo de Ciencia, Tecnología e Innovación (521–2010), Colombia. The funders had no role in the study design, data collection, analysis and interpretation of the data, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Availability of data and materials

The datasets used and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author details

¹Department of Biological Sciences, Universidad de los Andes, Bogotá, Colombia. ²Institute for Systems Biology, Seattle, WA, USA. ³Department of Microbiology and Digital Science Center (DiSC), University of Innsbruck, Innsbruck, Tyrol, Austria. ⁴Botany and Plant Pathology Department, Oregon State University, Corvallis, OR, USA.

Received: 28 July 2020 Accepted: 8 December 2020 Published online: 06 January 2021

References

- Hood R, et al. System of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* targets a toxin to Bacteria. Cell Host Microbe. 2010;7:25–31.
- Jiang F, Waterfield NR, Yang J, Yang G, Jin Q. A *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* type VI secretion phospholipase D effector targets both prokaryotic and eukaryotic cells. Cell Host Microbe. 2014;15:600–10.
- Sana TG, et al. Salmonella Typhimurium utilizes a T6SS-mediated antibacterial weapon to establish in the host gut. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2016;113:E5044–51.
- Ma L-S, Hachani A, Lin J-S, Filloux A, Lai E-M. Agrobacterium tumefaciens deploys a superfamily of type VI secretion DNase effectors as weapons for interbacterial competition in planta. Cell Host Microbe. 2014;16:94–104.
- Tian YY, et al. The type VI protein secretion system contributes to biofilm formation and seedtoseedling transmission of *Acidovorax citrulli* on melon. Mol Plant Pathol. 2014;16:38–47.
- Aubert DF, Flannagan RS, Valvano MA. A novel sensor kinase-response regulator hybrid controls biofilm formation and type VI secretion system activity in *Burkholderia cenocepacia*. Infect Immun. 2008;76:1979–91.
- Sana TG, et al. The second type VI secretion system of *Pseudomonas* aeruginosa strain PAO1 is regulated by quorum sensing and Fur and modulates internalization in epithelial cells. J Biol Chem. 2012;287:27095– 105.
- Lesic B, Starkey M, He J, Hazan R, Rahme LG. Quorum sensing differentially regulates *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* type VI secretion locus I and homologous loci II and III, which are required for pathogenesis. Microbiology. 2009;155:2845–55.
- Logan SL, et al. The Vibrio cholerae type VI secretion system can modulate host intestinal mechanics to displace gut bacterial symbionts. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2018;115:E3779–87.
- Shyntum DY, et al. Pantoea ananatis utilizes a type VI secretion system for pathogenesis and bacterial competition. Mol Plant-Microbe Interact. 2015; 28:420–31.
- Cianfanelli FR, Monlezun L, Coulthurst SJ. Aim, load, fire: the type VI secretion system, a bacterial Nanoweapon. Trends Microbiol. 2016;24:51–62.
- Boyer F, Fichant G, Berthod J, Vandenbrouck Y, Attree I. Dissecting the bacterial type VI secretion system by a genome wide in silico analysis: what can be learned from available microbial genomic resources. BMC Genomics. 2009;10:1115–27.
- Schlieker C, Zentgraf H, Dersch P, Mogk A. ClpV, a unique Hsp100/Clp member of pathogenic proteobacteria. Biol Chem. 2005;386:1115–27.
- Bönemann G, Pietrosiuk A, Diemand A, Zentgraf H, Mogk A. Remodelling of VipA/VipB tubules by ClpV-mediated threading is crucial for type VI protein secretion. EMBO J. 2009;28:315–25.
- 15. Mougous JD, et al. A virulence locus of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* encodes a protein secretion apparatus. Science. 2006;312:1526–30.
- Wu H-Y, Chung P-C, Shih H-W, Wen S-R, Lai E-M. Secretome analysis uncovers an Hcp-family protein secreted via a type VI secretion system in Agrobacterium tumefaciens. J Bacteriol. 2008;190:2841–50.
- 17. Mattinen L, et al. Microarray profiling of host-extract-induced genes and characterization of the type VI secretion cluster in the potato pathogen *Pectobacterium atrosepticum*. Microbiology. 2008;154:2387–96.
- Salomon D, et al. Marker for type VI secretion system effectors. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2014;111:9271–6.
- Douzi B, et al. Crystal structure and self-interaction of the type VI secretion tail-tube protein from enteroaggregative *Escherichia coli*. PLoS One. 2014;9: e86918.
- Pukatzki S, Ma AT, Revel AT, Sturtevant D, Mekalanos JJ. Type VI secretion system translocates a phage tail spike-like protein into target cells where it cross-links actin. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2007;104:15508–13.
- 21. Schwarz S, et al. *Burkholderia* type VI secretion systems have distinct roles in eukaryotic and bacterial cell interactions. PLoS Pathog. 2010;6:e1001068.
- 22. Abendroth U, et al. Identification of new protein-coding genes with a potential role in the virulence of the plant pathogen Xanthomonas euvesicatoria. BMC Genomics. 2017;18,625.

- 23. Potnis N, et al. Comparative genomics reveals diversity among xanthomonads infecting tomato and pepper. BMC Genomics. 2011;12:146.
- Bayer-Santos E, et al. Xanthomonas citri T6SS mediates resistance to Dictyostelium predation and is regulated by an ECF o factor and cognate Ser/Thr kinase. Environ Microbiol. 2018. https://doi.org/10.1111/1462-2920. 14085.
- 25. Lozano EA. Etiologic significance of bacterial isolates from rams with palpable epididymitis. Am J Vet Res. 1986;47:1153–6.
- 26. Altschul SF, et al. Gapped BLAST and PSI-BLAST: a new generation of protein database search programs. Nucleic Acids Res. 1997;25:3389–402.
- Li L, Stoeckert CJ, Roos DS. OrthoMCL: identification of ortholog groups for eukaryotic genomes. Genome Res. 2003;13:2178–89.
- 28. Rodriguez-R LM, et al. Genomes-based phylogeny of the genus *Xanthomonas*. BMC Microbiol. 2012;12:43.
- Arrieta-Ortiz ML, et al. Genomic survey of pathogenicity determinants and VNTR markers in the cassava bacterial pathogen Xanthomonas axonopodis pv. manihotis strain ClO151. PLoS One. 2013;8(11): e79704.
- Vernikos GS, Parkhill J. Interpolated variable order motifs for identification of horizontally acquired DNA: revisiting the *Salmonella* pathogenicity islands. Bioinformatics. 2006;22:2196–203.
- Siguier P, Perochon J, Lestrade L, Mahillon J, Chandler M. ISfinder: the reference Centre for bacterial insertion sequences. Nucleic Acids Res. 2006; 34:D32–6.
- Bart R, et al. High-throughput genomic sequencing of cassava bacterial blight strains identifies conserved effectors to target for durable resistance. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2012;109:E1972–9.
- 33. Edgar RC. MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high throughput. Nucleic Acids Res. 2004;32:1792–7.
- Kearse M, et al. Geneious basic: an integrated and extendable desktop software platform for the organization and analysis of sequence data. Bioinformatics. 2012;28:1647–9.
- Stamatakis A. RAxML-VI-HPC: maximum likelihood-based phylogenetic analyses with thousands of taxa and mixed models. Bioinformatics. 2006;22: 2688–90.
- Yu NY, et al. PSORTb 3.0: Improved protein subcellular localization prediction with refined localization subcategories and predictive capabilities for all prokaryotes. Bioinformatics. 2010. https://doi.org/10.1093/ bioinformatics/btq249.
- Hofmann K, Stoffel W, Hoffman K, Stoffel W. TMBASE A database of membrane spanning protein segments. Biol Chem HoppeSeyler Volume. 1993;374 SRC:166.
- Zdobnov EM, Apweiler R. InterProScan-an integration platform for the signature-recognition methods in InterPro. Bioinformatics. 2001;17:847–8.
- 39. Marchler-Bauer A, et al. CDD: a conserved domain database for protein classification. Nucleic Acids Res. 2005;33:D192–6.
- 40. Corpet F, Gouzy J, Kahn D. Recent improvements of the ProDom database of protein domain families. Nucleic Acids Res. 1999;27:263–7.
- Sonnhammer EL, Eddy SR, Durbin R. Pfam: A comprehensive database of protein domain families based on seed alignments. Proteins 7 December. 1998;28:405–20.
- An J, Nakama T, Kubota Y, Sarai A. 3DinSight: an integrated relational database and search tool for the structure, function and properties of biomolecules. Bioinformatics. 1998;14:188–95.
- 43. Wingender E, et al. TRANSFAC: an integrated system for gene expression regulation. Nucleic Acids Res. 2000;28:316–9.
- 44. Castañeda A, Reddy JD, El-Yacoubi B, Gabriel DW. Mutagenesis of all eight avr genes in *Xanthomonas campestris* pv. *campestris* had no detected effect on pathogenicity, but one avr gene affected race specificity. Mol Plant Microbe Interact. 2005;18:1306–17.
- Restrepo S, Duque MC, Verdier V. Characterization of pathotypes among isolates of *Xanthomonas axonopodis* pv. *manihotis* in Colombia. Plant Pathol. 2000;49:680–7.
- Schneider CA, et al. NIH image to ImageJ: 25 years of image analysis. Nat Methods. 2012;9:671–5.
- 47. Kauffman HE. An improved technique for evaluat-ing resistance of rice varieties to *Xanthomonas oryzae*. Plant Dis Rep. 1973;57:537–41.
- Shrivastava S, Mande SS. Identification and functional characterization of gene components of type VI secretion system in bacterial genomes. PLoS One. 2008;3(8):e2955.
- 49. Blom J, et al. EDGAR 2.0: an enhanced software platform for comparative gene content analyses. Nucleic Acids Res. 2016;44:W22–8.

- 50. Tutar Y. Pseudogenes. Comp Funct Genomics. 2012;2012:424526.
- Lin J-S, et al. TagF-mediated repression of bacterial type VI secretion systems involves a direct interaction with the cytoplasmic protein Fha. J Biol Chem. 2018;293:8829–42.
- 52. Schell MA, et al. Type VI secretion is a major virulence determinant in *Burkholderia mallei*. Mol Microbiol. 2007;64:1466–85.
- Pukatzki S, et al. Identification of a conserved bacterial protein secretion system in *Vibrio cholerae* using the Dictyostelium host model system. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2006;103:1528–33.
- Records AR, Gross DC. Sensor kinases RetS and LadS regulate *Pseudomonas* syringae type VI secretion and virulence factors. J Bacteriol. 2010;192:3584– 96.
- Burtnick MN, DeShazer D, Nair V, Gherardini FC, Brett PJ. Burkholderia mallei Cluster 1 Type VI Secretion Mutants Exhibit Growth and Actin Polymerization Defects in RAW 264.7 Murine Macrophages. Infect Immun. 2010;78:88 LP–99.
- Liu L, et al. The type VI secretion system modulates flagellar gene expression and secretion in *Citrobacter freundii* and contributes to adhesion and cytotoxicity to host cells. Infect Immun. 2015;83(7):2596–604.
- Hood RD, et al. A type VI secretion system of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* targets a toxin to Bacteria. Cell Host Microbe. 2010;7:25–37.
- Kamoun S, Kado CI. Phenotypic switching affecting Chemotaxis, xanthan production, and virulence in *Xanthomonas campestris*. Appl Environ Microbiol. 1990;56:3855–60.
- Ryan RP, et al. Cyclic di-GMP signalling in the virulence and environmental adaptation of Xanthomonas campestris. Mol Microbiol. 2007;63:429–42.
- Ryan RP, et al. Pathogenomics of *Xanthomonas*: understanding bacteriumplant interactions. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2011;9:344–55.
- Nguyen TT, Lee H-H, Park I, Seo Y-S. Genome-Wide Analysis of Type VI System Clusters and Effectors in *Burkholderia* Species. Plant Pathol J. 2018; 34:11–22.
- Kirchberger PC, Unterweger D, Provenzano D, Pukatzki S, Boucher Y. Sequential displacement of type VI secretion system effector genes leads to evolution of diverse immunity gene arrays in *Vibrio cholerae*. Sci Rep. 2017;7: 45133.
- 63. Miyata ST, Bachmann V, Pukatzki S. Type VI secretion system regulation as a consequence of evolutionary pressure. J Med Microbiol. 2013;62:663–76.
- Das S, Chaudhuri K. Identification of a unique IAHP (IcmF associated homologous proteins) cluster in Vibrio cholerae and other proteobacteria through in silico analysis. In Silico Biol. 2003;3:287–300.
- Sarris PF, Zoumadakis C, Panopoulos NJ, Scoulica EV. Distribution of the putative type VI secretion system core genes in Klebsiella spp. Infect Genet Evol. 2011;11:157–66.
- Basler M, Ho BT, Mekalanos JJ. Tit-for-tat: type VI secretion system counterattack during bacterial cell-cell interactions. Cell. 2013;152:884–94.
- 67. Lertpiriyapong K, et al. *Campylobacter jejuni* type VI secretion system: roles in adaptation to Deoxycholic acid, host cell adherence, invasion, and in vivo colonization. PLoS One. 2012;7:e42842.
- 68. Sena-Vélez M, et al. Biofilm formation and motility of Xanthomonas strains with different citrus host range. Plant Pathol. 2014;64:767-775.
- 69. Zheng J, Leung KY. Dissection of a type VI secretion system in *Edwardsiella tarda*. Mol Microbiol. 2007;66:1192–206.
- Bladergroen MR, Badelt K, Spaink HP. Infection-blocking genes of a symbiotic *Rhizobium leguminosarum* strain that are involved in temperaturedependent protein secretion. Mol Plant-Microbe Interact. 2003;16:53–64.
- James D, Shao H, Lamont RJ, Demuth DR. The Actinobacillus actinomycetemcomitans Ribose Binding Protein RbsB Interacts with Cognate and Heterologous Autoinducer 2 Signals. Infect Immun. 2006;74:4021 LP– 4029.
- Russell AB, et al. Type VI secretion delivers bacteriolytic effectors to target cells. Nature. 2011;475:343–9.
- Bayer-Santos E, de Ceseti LM, Farah CS, Alvarez-Martinez CE. Distribution, function and regulation of type 6 secretion systems of *Xanthomonadales*. Front Microbiol. 2019;10:1635.
- 74. Wang J, et al. Bastion6: a bioinformatics approach for accurate prediction of type VI secreted effectors. Bioinformatics. 2018;34:2546–55.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

